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## Introduction

Each Legislature is a different story, and each Parliament represents something new when compared to the previous. The internal dynamics that take place in the Chamber of Deputies and the Senate are an excellent representation of how italian politics are changing.

The XVII Legislature, begun in march of 2013, appears to be characterized by a high number of party switches. A phenomenon that is allowed in our Constitution, that in the past two years however reached new dimensions. As Article 67 of the Constitution says, Deputies and Senators are elected without an imperative mandate. They have no juridical commitment towards the party in which they got elected and towards the citizens that voted them. During the mandate a MP can act freely, and voters will only have the chance to judge his/ her doing when the following elections take place.

One of the many consequences of this is that Deputies and Senators are constitutionally free to change as many political groups as they desire, with no need to justify his or her action. A phenomenon continuously evolving, that in the last two Legislatures took place in various ways. If in 2010 the many party switches saved the Government led by Silvio Berlusconi, more recently expulsions, as in the case of the Movimento 5 Stelle, internal divisions (split between Forza Italia and Nuovo Centrodestra), and the end of political experiments (Mario Monti and Scelta Civica), brought to continuous changes in Parliament.

In this MiniDossier we will attempt to give a $360^{\circ}$ analysis of the issue, looking at data and numbers to understand the real size of the phenomenon. By looking at flows and specific movements in the current Legislatures, we will try to understand which parties gained the most from this political waltz. Furthermore, through an analysis of individual Deputies and Senators, we will be able to see how majority and opposition varied in time.

By looking at rebellious votes, we will take the pulse of the situation before and after the switch, to understand if there were any particular signs of the break. Lastly the XVI and the XVII Legislatures will be compared: were the Deputies and Senators that changed group to save the Berlusconi Government rewarded? Were they renominanted and reelected? Did those that switched party do it again?

## NORMATIVE REFERENCES

Constitution
ART. 67
"Each Member of Parliament represents the Nation and carries out his duties without a binding mandate.

Rules of [...] 1. A minimum of twenty deputies shaH be required to establish a Parliamentary Procedure of the Chamber of Deputies

ART. 14 Group. 2. The Bureau may authorise the establishment of a Group with less than twenty members provided that it represents a nationally organised party that has presented its own list of candidates, under the same emblem and in at least twenty constituencies, and has obtained at least one quotient in one constituency and national electoral returns of at least three hundred thousand valid list votes. 3. Within two days of the first sitting, the deputies must declare to the Secretary General of the Chamber the Group to which they belong. [...]


#### Abstract

Rules of 1. Every Senator shall belong to a Parliamentary Group Procedure of the Senate

ART. 14 2. Within three days of the first sitting, each Senator shall notify the President of the Senate of the Group which they intend to join. 3. Senators entering the Senate during the course of a Parliament shall notify the President of the Senate of the Group they intend to join within three days of being proclaimed elected in the case of elected Senators, or of the date of their appointment in the case of Life Senators and ex officio Senators. 4. Each Group shall comprise at least ten Senators. Senators not wishing to belong to one particular Group shall join the Group of Non-Attached Members. 5. The Bureau may authorise the formation of a Group with fewer than ten members provided that it represents a party or an organised movement in Italy which has submitted its own lists of candidates for the elections to the Senate in at least fifteen Regions, using the same party symbol, and whose candidates have been elected in at least three Regions, provided that such Group comprises at least five Senators, even if elected under different party symbols. 6. When membership of a duly constituted Group falls to below ten during the course of a Parliament, the Group shall be declared dissolved


Rules of
Procedure of the Senate

ART. 15

1. Within seven days following the first sitting of the Senate, the President shall convene the Senators who have declared their intention to join the Groups and the Senators to be registered as Non-Attached Members.
2. Each Group shall be established by submitting to the President of the Senate the list of their members signed by the Leader of the Group, who shall be appointed at the meeting convened pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 1 above. Each Group shall also appoint one or more Deputy Leaders and one or more Secretaries from among their members. These appointees, any changes to these appointments and any changes in the composition of the Parliamentary Group shall be notified to the President of the Senate.
3. During the life of a Parliament, new Parliamentary Groups may be constituted.

## ACRONYMS

## Legislature XVI

| Symbol | Acronym | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (90) | Udc | Unione di Centro |
| (1) | Lega | Lega Nord |
| (nammo | Idv | Italia dei Valori |
| (M) | Misto | Gruppo Misto |
| (P, ) | Pd | Partito Democratico |
| (nmy | Pdl | Popolo delle Libertà |
| (197ic) | Fli | Futuro e Libertà |
| (PT) | Pt | Popolo e Territorio |
| (cN | Cn | Coesione Nazionale |
| (4) | Apl-Fli | Per il Terzo Polo |
| (2in) | Fdi-Cdn | Fratelli d'Italia - Centrodestra Nazionale |

## Legislature XVII

| Symbol | Acronym | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (4) | Ap (Ncd-Udc) | Area Popolare (Ncd-Udc) |
| (7iniu) | Fi | Forza Italia |
| (1)4m | Pdl | Popolo delle Libertà |
| (4)40) | Fdi-An | Fratelli d'Italia - Alleanza Nazionale |
| (G) | Gal | Grandi Autonomie e Libertà |
| (i) | Lega | Lega Nord |
| (M) | Misto | Gruppo Misto |
| nimam | M5S | Movimento 5 Stelle |
| (1) ${ }^{2}$ | Pd | Partito Democratico |
|  | $\mathrm{Pi}-\mathrm{Cd}$ | Per I'Italia - Centro Democratico |
| (APM) | Aut-Psi-Maie | Per le Autonomie (SVP-UV-PATT-UPT)-PSI-MAIE |
| (2mili | Sc | Scelta Civica |
| ( | Sel | Sinistra Ecologia e Libertà |

# Those that come and those that go <br> The decisions of MPs during the XVI and XVII Legislature 

The groups that make up Parliament, and their dimension, changed a lot since the elections of 2013. We can summarize the different changes that took places in three big categories: groups that have grown, those that lost many members and those that had internal divisions. This last category includes the groups that lost most MPs: Popolo delle Libertà (end of the Berlusconi-Alfano alliance), and Scelta Civica per l'Italia (downfall of Mario Monti) are a clear example of this. Following in this political walz, third group with most losses, Movimento 5 Stelle appears to have had severe resizing both in Montecitorio (-18) and Palazzo Madama (-17).
While many lose members, the only group that seems to be growing is Partito Democratico, that took advantage of many Deputies coming from Sinistra Ecologia e Libertà and Scelta Civica.

Since march 2013185 Members of Parliament switched group, for a total 235 changes. A very high number, considering that in two years of Legislature we almost reached the total amount of the previous Legislature (in 5 years -261 switches made by 180 MPs ). Not only Deputies and Senators change group more than once, but some go from opposition to majority (like the Deputies of Sel entering Pd), and some even went back to a group they originally left.To understand the real impact of party switching in the current poltical phase, in the XVI Legisalture the party switches per month were 4,50 , in the current the average went up to 10,22 .

By dividing the number between the last four Governments, the recent increase in numbers is even more clear. During the Letta Government there were 15,33 switches per month, followed by the Renzi Government, 8 switches per month, with both the Berlusconi and Monti Governments considerably behind (5,56 and 2,94).


### 1.1. CHAMBER: COMPOSITION OF GROUPS AND VARIATIONS

 composition with either positive or negative balance.
In green those that gained Deputies, and in red those that lost them. All data is about the current Legislature.

### 1.2. CHAMBER: GROUP VS GROUP



I For each party you can see the flow of Deputies entering and leaving the group. Next to each symbol the total and the detail of the movements is indicated. In circumstances in which two groups were involved in a mutual exchange, the final balance is reported.

### 1.3. CHAMBER: NAVIGATING THROUGH THE POLITICAL SPECTRUM



### 1.4. CHAMBER: BACK AND FORTH

NISSOLI Angela Rosaria Detta Fucsia


08/04/2013
10/04/2013
10/12/2013

GIORGETTI Alberto

QUINTARELLI Stefano


### 1.5. CHAMBER: MOST PARTY-SWITCHES

|  | Group | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NISSOLI Angela Rosaria Detta Fucsia | (inimin) | M | (inin | $\mathrm{C}$ |
| ZACCAGNINI Adriano | \%mam | (M) |  |  |
| DI SALVO Titti |  | (M) | (P) ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| PIAZZONI Ileana |  | (M) | (P) 1 |  |
| MIGLIORE Gennaro | 成 | (M) | P1) |  |
| LAVAGNO Fabio |  | (M) | P1) |  |
| ZAN Alessandro | (ixidix | (M) | (P) ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| PILOZZI Nazzareno |  | (M) | P1) |  |
| NARDI Martina | (ixidix | (M) | (P) ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| LACQUANITI Luigi |  | (M) | P1) |  |
| ROMANO Andrea | (imin | (M) | P1) |  |
| GIORGETTI Alberto |  | (4) | (17i4) |  |
| SALTAMARTINI Barbara | (8iniii) | (4) | (M) |  |
| BUTTIGLIONE Rocco | (imine | $\mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| GITTI Gregorio | (inimin | $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{e}$ | Pr) |  |
| SCHIRO' Gea | (inimin | $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{C}$ | P1) |  |
| D'ALIA Gianpiero | $(2 x)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}$ | (no |  |
| QUINTARELLI Stefano | (inine | $\mathrm{C}$ | (inin) |  |
| BINETTI Paola | (imine |  | (4)40 |  |
| DE MITA Giuseppe | $2$ | $\mathrm{A}$ |  |  |
| CERA Angelo | (imine |  |  |  |
| ADORNATO Ferdinando | (imelime | $\mathrm{B}$ | 事 |  |

### 1.6. SENATE: COMPOSITION OF GROUPS AND VARIATIONS


! For each group you can see the current composition with either positive or negative balance.
In green those that gained Senators, and in red those that lost them. All data is about the current Legislature.

### 1.7. SENATE: GROUP VS GROUP



### 1.8. SENATE: NAVIGATING THROUGH THE POLITICAL SPECTRUM

MAJORITY OPPOSITION


### 1.9. SENATE: BACK AND FORTH



LEAVING


19/11/2013 02/12/2013

NACCARATO Paolo


14/11/2013 08/07/2014
COMPAGNA Luigi

COMPAGNA Luigi
14/11/2013
20/11/2013
01/12/2013

CENTINAIO Gian Marco

## D'ALI' Antonio



14/11/2013
13/10/2014
!
Senators that in the current Legislature went back in a group they originally left. Interesting the case of Luigi Compagna, that managed to "ping pong" between two groups.

### 1.10. SENATE: MOST PARTY-SWITCHES

|  | Group | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPAGNA Luigi | (M) | (G) | (4is) | G |  |
| NACCARATO Paolo |  | (G) | 鈴 | (G) |  |
| CROSIO Jonny | M | (G) | $8$ |  |  |
| BILARDI Giovanni Emanuele | (M) | (G) |  |  |  |
| BIANCONI Laura | $M$ | (G) | (47.0 |  |  |
| ANITORI Fabiola |  | (M) | (7ivo |  |  |
| BATTISTA Lorenzo | (10) (1uxrex | $M$ | (APM |  |  |
| LANGELLA Pietro | (7niur) | (G) | (4) |  |  |
| CARIDI Antonio Stefano | (7initi) | (4x) | (G) |  |  |
| D'ALI' Antonio |  | (4ino |  |  |  |
| CENTINAIO Gian Marco |  | (G) |  |  |  |
| OLIVERO Andrea | (2inatia) |  | (G) |  |  |
| ALBERTINI Gabriele | (3intin) |  | (4in |  |  |
| MAURO Mario |  |  | (G) |  |  |
| DE POLI Antonio | (3intill |  | (4) |  |  |
| ROSSI Maurizio Giuseppe | (3int |  | (M) |  |  |
| MARINO Luigi | (2intilit) |  | (4.7 |  |  |
| MERLONI Maria Paola | (2inctia) |  | (APM) |  |  |
| CASINI Pier Ferdinando | (2ixatia) |  | (7is) |  |  |
| ROMANO Lucio | (3intik |  | APM |  |  |
| D'ONGHIA Angela | (3intill |  | (G) |  |  |
| DI BIAGIO Aldo | (2intia) |  | (7) |  |  |
| DI MAGGIO Salvatore Tito | (3) |  | (G) |  |  |

### 1.11. A COMPARISON BETWEEN LEGISLATION XVI AND XVII

| $\underset{\text { Legislatura }}{\text { XVI }}$ |  | $\underset{\text { Legislatura }}{\text { XVII }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O:8\% |  |
| 120 | Deputies involved | 95 |
| 165 | Total changes | 118 |
|  | R:8\% |  |
| 60 | Senators involved | 90 |
| 96 | Total changes | 116 |
|  |  |  |
| 180 | MPs involved | 185 |
| 261 | Total Changes | 235 |
| 58 | Months | 23 |
| 4,50 | Changes per month | 10,17 |

### 1.12. PARTY-SWITCHING IN THE LAST FOUR GOVERNMENTS



# Repercussions on the Majority <br> How do the parties supporting the Government change 

Deputies and Senators can express their dissent in a variety of ways. One of these is during voting sessions, when their loyalty to their political party is constantly tested.

Generally, before leaving the group, Deputies and Senators that switch parties have an average of rebellious votes in line with the rest of the House. $60 \%$ of them in the Chamber and $77 \%$ in the Senate actually vote below the average rebellion rate. In rare occasion there are early symptoms of the party switching, and in even less circumstances Deputies and Senators have proven to be unloyal before changing teams.

The situation of rebellious votes changes drastically after the switch. Especially with Deputies and Senators that go from the opposition to the majority, as in the case of many members of Sinistra Ecologia e Liberta that entered in the Partito Democratico, the percentages of discordant votes increases drastically. Very similar situation for the many MPs that left the Movimento 5 Stelle: after their expulsion or leaving of the group they all started voting in the exact opposite way.

The analysis of rebellious votes allows us to highlight two other situation. On one side the Popolo delle Libertà case, with a strong difference in votes between members of Nuovo Centrodestra and Forza Italia, caused mainly by the latter being now in the opposition. On the other, the many party switches inside majority groups (splitting of Scelta Civica and Per l'Italia with many MPs entering the Partito Democratico), made many group changes highly insignificant, especially considering voting behaviour.

### 2.1. CHAMBER: REBELLIONS BEFORE SWITCHING

Switchers above average 39,29\%

In rare cases there were signs of the party switch. As a proof of this, more than 60\% of Deputies that changed parties had a rebellion rate


Switchers below average 60,71\%
! Rebellious votes take place when a MP does not vote like the majority of his party. In the current Legislature, the average rebellion rate in the Chamber of Deputies is 0,92\%

### 2.2. CHAMBER: DIFFERENCES AFTER SWITCHING


different in discordant votes with initial group before and after the switch

### 2.3. SENATE: REBELLIONS BEFORE SWITCHING

!<br>In rare cases there were signs of the party switch. As a proof of this, more than $77 \%$ of Senators that changed parties had a rebellion rate lower than the average.<br>Switchers below average 77,33\%<br>! Rebellious votes take place when a MP does not vote like the majority of his party. In the current Legislature, the average rebellion rate in the Chamber of Deputies is 2,36\%



[^0]
### 2.5. CHAMBER: HOW DOES THE MAJORITY CHANGE



### 2.6. SENATE: HOW DOES THE MAJORITY CHANGE

$03 / 2013$
Beginning
of Legislature.

MAJORITY
OPPOSITION


### 2.7. COMPOSITION OF THE MAJORITY IN KEY VOTES

|  |  | CAMERA |  | SENATO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government / dates | Event | Votes | Margin | Votes | Margin | Parties |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 15/05/2008 } \\ \text { BERLUSCONI } \end{gathered}$ | Vote of confidence Berlusconi | 335 | 50 | 173 | 36 | PdI + Lega |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 29/09/2010 } \\ \text { BERLUSCONI } \end{gathered}$ | 5 programmatic points Berlusconi Government | 342 | 67 | 174 | 45 | Pdl + Lega + Fli |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 14/12/2010 } \\ \text { BERLUSCON } \end{gathered}$ | Berlusconi vote of no confidence | 314 | 3 | 162 | 27 | Pdl + Lega |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 16/02/2011 } \\ \text { BERLUSCONI } \end{gathered}$ | Vote of confidence on Milleproroghe 2010 | 309 | 22 | 158 | 18 | Pdl + Lega + Pt |
| 18/11/2011 <br> MONTI | Vote of confidence Monti | 556 | 495 | 281 | 256 | Pdl + Pd + Udc + Fli + Idv |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 01/07/2012 } \\ \text { MONTI } \end{gathered}$ | Fiscal Compact Treaty | 368 | 303 | 216 | 171 | Pdl + Pd + Udc + Fli |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 21/12/2012 } \\ \text { MONTI } \end{gathered}$ | Budget Law 2013 | 307 | 248 | 206 | 174 | Pdl + Pd + Udc + Fli |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30/04/2013 } \\ & \text { LETTA } \end{aligned}$ | Vote of confidence Letta | 453 | 300 | 233 | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Fi}-\mathrm{Pdl}+\mathrm{Sc} \\ & + \text { Gal + Aut } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25/09/2013 } \\ & \text { LETTA } \end{aligned}$ | Culture Decree | 323 | 306 | 175 | 103 | $\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Fi}-\mathrm{Pdl}+\mathrm{Sc}+\mathrm{Gal}+\mathrm{Au}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 27/11/2013 } \\ \text { LETTA } \end{gathered}$ | Budget Law 2014 | 313 | 148 | 162 | 47 | Pd + Ncd + Sc + Aut |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 29/01/2014 } \\ \text { LETTA } \end{gathered}$ | Milleproroghe 2013 | 216 | 104 | 134 | 38 | $\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Ncd}+\mathrm{Sc}+$ Aut + Pi |
| $\begin{gathered} 25 / 02 / 2014 \\ \text { RENZI } \end{gathered}$ | Vote of confidence Renzi | 378 | 158 | 169 | 30 | $\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Ncd}+\mathrm{Sc}+$ Aut + Pi |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 19/12/2014 } \\ \text { RENZI } \end{gathered}$ | Budget Law 2015 | 302 | 194 | 161 | 83 | Pd + Ncd + Sc + Aut |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 27/01/2014 } \\ \text { RENZI } \end{gathered}$ | Italicum | 365 | 209 | 184 | 116 | $\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Ncd}+\mathrm{Sc}+$ Aut +Fi |

# Second Chance 

## What happened to those that switched party in the last Legislature


#### Abstract

If during the current Legislature the phenomenon is particularly relevant, the same can be said about the previous. In the XVI Legislature (2008-2013) 180 MPs changed group. In particular two events accentuated the issue: the break-up between Popolo delle Libertà and Fini, and the vote of confidence that saved the Berlusconi Government thanks to a group called "Responsabili".

But what happened to these 180 MPs? Were they rewarded for saving the Berlusconi Government? And those that followed Gianfranco Fini in the Futuro e Libertà adventure, renominated? The number are very clear: $48 \%$ of the "switchers" were renominated, and $12,75 \%$ were reelected. Both rates are lower than "loyal" MPs, considering that $52 \%$ of them were renominated and $41 \%$ reelected.


The main difference is in the party one chose to enter. The "responsabili" that left their group to save the Berlusconi Government were all renominated and most of them were reelected (as in the case of Scilipoti and Razzi), while the Deputies and Senators that followed Fini in the Fli adventure were mostly renominated, but very few were actually reelected, since the party did not reach the minimum threshold at the elections. Generally, $50 \%$ of the MPs that left Silvio Berlusconi in the previous Legislature, were all "forgotten" and not even renominated in the following elections of 2013.

Another interest aspect is the tendency of many Deputies and Senators to change group several times. 11 Members of Parliament switched groups both in the XVI and XVII Legislature, with some, as Dorina Bianchi, that managed to navigate throughout the whole political spectrum. Elected in the Senate with Partito Democratico in 2008, she then switched to Popolo delle Libertà, party that renomninated and reelected her in 2013, before her swith to Nuovo Centrodestra.

### 3.1. LEG XVI: RENOMINATED AND REELECTED



TOTALE PARLAMENTARI FEDELI RENOMINATED REELECTED


TOTALE PARLAMENTARI TR RENOMINATED REELECTED

### 3.2. LEG XVI: THOSE THAT LEFT AND THOSE THAT SUPPORTED THE GOVERNMENT HAVE BEEN RENOMINATED?



TOTALE PARLAMENTARI FLI RENOMINATED REELECTED


TOTALE PARLAMENTARI RESPONSABILI RENOMINATED REELECTED

### 3.3. LEG XVI: THOSE THAT SWITCHED DID IT AGAIN?

|  | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | XVI <br> Legislature |  |  | XVII <br> Legislature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bianchi Dorina | ! | ㅇ:8\% | (P)] |  | 888: |  | (ixion |
| Binetti Paola | । | -:8\% | (P) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ( 10 | 8:88 | (3) | (47.0 |
| D'Anna Vincenzo |  | ㅇ:8\% |  |  | 2:8\% |  | (G) |
| Della Vedova Benedetto |  | -8:8 |  |  |  | $(\sqrt{2 \times 2}$ | (M) |
| Di Biagio Aldo | । | -8\% |  |  | $\therefore: 8$ | $(\sqrt{2 \mathrm{sin}+5}$ | (4xivo |
| Ferrara Mario Francesco | ! | ㅇ:8 |  | (CN) | 288: | (M) | G |
| Lanzillotta Linda | । | R:8\% | (1)] | (M) | ㅇ:88 | (inmitia | PD) |
| Maran Alessndro |  | ㅇ:8 | (P)] | (M) | ㅇ:8\% | (3xtivil | P1] |
| Milo Antonio | । | R:8\% | (M) | (PT) |  |  | (G) |
| Ruvolo Giuseppe | । | R:8\% | (9) | PT |  | Hix minmeris | (G) |
| Tabacci Bruno | , | R:8\% | (8) | (M) | RO:8\% | (M) |  |
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